| Budget Proposals 2024/25: Restructure funding for Adult Social Care (ASC) transport services |   |                                  | Service Directo<br>Author: Jo Eng |                        |  | uary 2024<br>n 1 (Scrutiny<br>ssion) |
|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Proposal:  | To remove transport to and from the day services as part of an individual's care package and to offer a transport service that will be charged at a flat rate of £5.00 per journey (one way) for those individuals that are unable to get to the day services by another means, for example, taken by family or public transport. |                                  |                                   |                        |  |                                      |
| Total budget<br>2023/24:   | £410,000  | Initial proposed saving 2024/25: | £200,000                          | Recommend saving 2024/ |  | £200,000                             |
| No. of responses:  |   |                                  |                                   |                        |  |                                      |
| Key issues raised:   | Those individuals that strongly disagreed or disagreed to the proposal did so on the basis that it would have an adverse financially impact on individuals and individuals may choose to not go to the day centre that could lead to social isolation or carers could go into crisis.   |                                  |                                   |                        |  |                                      |

| Budget Proposals<br>Care (ASC) transpo   | 2024/25: Restructure funding foort services   | or Adult Social | Service Director: Paul Coe<br>Author: Jo England   | 8 February 2024 Version 1 (Scrutiny Commission)   |  |
|--|---|-----------------|--|---|--|
| Those individuals who strongly agreed or agreed cons receipt of a mobility element of either Disability Living a charged a flat rate fee for the transport they used.  There were several suggestions of either a lower flat rate a return journey and not each way.  Some of the respondents felt that the proposal would apeople with either a learning disability or Autism, where around.  There was also concern that it would stop vulnerable in would lead to social isolation or carer breakdown.  There was also a suggestion that carers would have to would have to look after the vulnerable individual rather |   |                 | Living Allowance or Personal Independent.  Ver flat rate fee each way or that the would affect older people less that m, whereas other respondents felt erable individuals from having corwn.  have to give up working if this property in the property of the property in the property of the | ependence Payment to be the fee proposed should be for that it would affect younger that this was the other way that with other people and the posal went ahead as they |  |
| <b>Equality issues:</b>  | No issues were raised during the consultation, that weren't already included in the EqIA stage one. |                 |  | IA stage one.   |  |
| Suggestions for  | Suggestion  | Council respon  | nse  |   |  |
| reducing the impact on service users:  | Reduce the proposed cost  |                 | proposed cost, you reduce the amount of income we could we would have to find savings elsewhere  |   |  |
|  | Transition the change and provide plenty of notice  |                 | ls go ahead then individuals will be given 4 weeks notice of the nges. Transitioning the change will reduce the amount of incom  |   |  |

| Budget Proposals 2024/25: Restructure funding for Adult Social Care (ASC) transport services |  |  | Service Director: Paul Coe<br>Author: Jo England                  | 8 February 2024 Version 1 (Scrutiny Commission) |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
|  | Use minibuses instead of taxis                   | ce the costs but due to where indivouse taxis as shared transport is r |   |   |  |
| Alternative options for applying the   | Suggestion                                       | Council respon   | ıse   |   |  |
| saving in this area:   |  |  |   |   |  |
|  |  |  |   |   |  |
| Suggestions for income   | Suggestion                                       | Council respor   | nse   |   |  |
| generation:  | Privatise the 3 West Berkshire owned Day Centres | The 3 day centre in an income  | ntres owned by the Council do not run at a loss and already bring |   |  |

| Budget Proposals 2024/25: Restructure funding for A Care (ASC) transport services |  | Adult Social  | Service Director: Paul Coe<br>Author: Jo England | 8 February 2024 Version 1 (Scrutiny Commission) |  |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|
|   | Consider not doing other projects like the Wharf   | This project in funded by capital not revenue money and the initial phase is grant funded   |  |   |  |
|   | No more bikes lanes  | Most highways work is either capital or grant funded and is part of National Policy.  |  |   |  |
|   | Ensure individuals that have Motability cars use them instead of the transport   | This would be part of the new proposal.   |  |   |  |
|   | Reduce the amount of unnecessary staff   | Adult Social Care has already removed any unnecessary posts.  |  |   |  |
|   | Reduce the heating in offices and schools  | Many of the Schools in West Berkshire manage their own finances so we have no control over what they spend their money on.                          |  |   |  |
|   |  | In the last 18 months we have reduced our office accommodation to save money with many staff now working from home.                                 |  |   |  |
|   | Reduce home to school transport  | This is already b   | peing reviewed.                                  |   |  |
|   | Charge more Council Tax  | In order to charge more Council Tax, the Council would have to do a referendum as Council Tax is already increased by the maximum amount each year. |  |   |  |
| Officer conclusion and recommendation   | While some people disagree with this proposal it is not a statutory duty to provide transport as part of an individuals care package and we are not proposing to stop the service. If individuals do not have then appropriate benefit to pay for transport, then the additional expenditure they occur can be taken into account in the financial assessment for their contribution towards their care package. |   |  |   |  |

|  |                               | Author: Jo England  | 8 February 2024 Version 1 (Scrutiny Commission) |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
|  | as a result of the responses: | The recommendation of Adult Social Care is to proceed with this proposal. |   |  |  |